Results of Brief Enforcement Hearings – February 23, 2004

Note: Brief Enforcement Hearings are heard by a Single Commissioner, normally the Chair of the Commission. Brief enforcement hearings are held when the facts are undisputed, the violations appear to be relatively minor in nature, and a penalty no greater than \$500 will be assessed for the violations. A respondent may appeal the results of a brief enforcement hearing by asking the full Commission to review the findings of the Single Commissioner.

Brief Enforcement Hearings - February 23, 2004

1. Robert Harkins, Case #04-395

Results: The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.170 by failing to timely file the monthly Lobbyist Expense Report for the months of July, August and September 2003

Assessed Penalty: \$500, with \$200 suspended.

2. **Bill Monto**, Case #04-410

Results: The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.170 by failing to timely file the monthly Lobbyist Expense Reports for the month of June 2003.

Assessed Penalty: \$100, with \$50 suspended on the condition that the Respondent commits no further violations of RCW 42.17 during 2004.

3. Stephanie Bowman, Case #04-435

Results: The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.170 by failing to timely file the monthly Lobbyist Expense Reports for the month of December 2003.

Assessed Penalty: \$100, with \$75 suspended.

4. **Timothy Hamilton**, Case #04-436

Results: The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.170 by failing to timely file the monthly Lobbyist Expense Reports for the month of December 2003.

Assessed Penalty: \$100.

5. **Dan Sexton**, Case #04-437

Results: The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.170 by failing to timely file the monthly Lobbyist Expense Reports for the month of December 2003. **Assessed Penalty:** \$200, with \$100 suspended on the condition that the Respondent commits no further violations of RCW 42.17 during 2004.

6. **Richard Heidal**, Case #04-104

Results: The Presiding Officer acted to vacate the order previously entered in Case #04-104, finding that the Respondent did not violate RCW 42.17.050 and .240 because he successfully withdrew as a candidate for Fire Commissioner in Pierce County Fire District 3 before the enforcement action for missing reports was commenced. The case was dismissed.